This article was downloaded by: [Institute Of Atmospheric Physics] On: 09 December 2014, At: 15:20 Publisher: Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Click for updates



Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/gcoo20

Disubstituted diphenyldithiophosphates of cadmium: synthesis, characterization, and single-crystal Xray structure

Ruchi Khajuria^a, Sandeep Kumar^a, Atiya Syed^a, Gurvinder Kour^b, Sumati Anthal^b, Vivek K. Gupta^b, Rajni Kant^b & Sushil Kumar Pandey^a

^a Department of Chemistry, University of Jammu, Jammu, India

^b X-ray Crystallography Laboratory, Post-Graduate Department of Physics & Electronics, University of Jammu, Jammu, India Accepted author version posted online: 26 Aug 2014.Published online: 16 Sep 2014.

To cite this article: Ruchi Khajuria, Sandeep Kumar, Atiya Syed, Gurvinder Kour, Sumati Anthal, Vivek K. Gupta, Rajni Kant & Sushil Kumar Pandey (2014) Disubstituted diphenyldithiophosphates of cadmium: synthesis, characterization, and single-crystal X-ray structure, Journal of Coordination Chemistry, 67:17, 2925-2941, DOI: <u>10.1080/00958972.2014.958473</u>

To link to this article: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00958972.2014.958473</u>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Taylor & Francis makes every effort to ensure the accuracy of all the information (the "Content") contained in the publications on our platform. However, Taylor & Francis, our agents, and our licensors make no representations or warranties whatsoever as to the accuracy, completeness, or suitability for any purpose of the Content. Any opinions and views expressed in this publication are the opinions and views of the authors, and are not the views of or endorsed by Taylor & Francis. The accuracy of the Content should not be relied upon and should be independently verified with primary sources of information. Taylor and Francis shall not be liable for any losses, actions, claims, proceedings, demands, costs, expenses, damages, and other liabilities whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with, in relation to or arising out of the use of the Content.

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden. Terms &

Conditions of access and use can be found at <u>http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions</u>

Disubstituted diphenyldithiophosphates of cadmium: synthesis, characterization, and single-crystal X-ray structure

Taylor & Francis

Taylor & Francis Group

RUCHI KHAJURIA[†], SANDEEP KUMAR[†], ATIYA SYED[†], GURVINDER KOUR[‡], SUMATI ANTHAL[‡], VIVEK K. GUPTA[‡], RAJNI KANT[‡] and SUSHIL KUMAR PANDEY^{*}[†]

†Department of Chemistry, University of Jammu, Jammu, India ‡X-ray Crystallography Laboratory, Post-Graduate Department of Physics & Electronics, University of Jammu, Jammu, India

(Received 6 December 2013; accepted 14 July 2014)



A series of new disubstituted diphenyldithiophosphate complexes of cadmium [$\{(ArO)_2PS_2\}_2Cd$] (9–12) have been isolated in aqueous media while their donor stabilized adducts [$\{(ArO)_2PS_2\}_2Cd$ ·2 C_5H_5N] (13–16) [$(Ar = 2,4-(CH_3)_2C_6H_3, 2,5-(CH_3)_2C_6H_3, 3,4-(CH_3)_2C_6H_3$ and 3,5-(CH₃)₂C₆H₃)] have been isolated in chloroform. These newly synthesized complexes were characterized by elemental analyses, IR and NMR (¹H, ¹³C and ³¹P) spectroscopic analyses. The dithiophosphate ligands are coordinated bidentate to the cadmium ion via the two thiolate sulfurs. The compounds [$\{(3,5-CH_3)_2C_6H_3O\}_2PS_2HNEt_3$] (4) and [$\{(3,5-CH_3)_2C_6H_3O\}_2PS_2$]₂Cd(NC₅H₅)₂ (16) crystallize in the monoclinic system with space group *P2*₁/*c*. Single-crystal X-ray analysis of 4 reveals that phosphorus of the anion is tetrahedrally bonded to two S and two O atoms. The structure is stabilized by cation–anion N–H···S intermolecular hydrogen bond interactions. In 16, two diphenyldithiophosphate ions are bidentate with both sulfurs coordinated to cadmium. Each forms a

^{*}Corresponding author. Email: kpsushil@rediffmail.com

^{© 2014} Taylor & Francis

four-membered chelate ring in the equatorial plane. Two pyridines are axially coordinated to cadmium leading to octahedral geometry. The thermal properties of this complex have also been examined by combined DTA/DTG thermal analyses.

Keywords: Cadmium(II); Diphenyldithiophosphate; Crystal structure; Pyridine

1. Introduction

The chemistry of cadmium dithiolates continues to be a prominent area of research for emerging applications as precursors in metal organic chemical vapor deposition [1-3]. Recent work has established that cadmium dithiocarbamates may be useful precursors to form CdS nanowires and may have applications as nonlinear optical materials [4, 5]. Cadmium and its compounds have numerous applications; however, in recent years, the use of cadmium has declined, mainly due to concerns over the toxicity of cadmium and the introduction of regulations. Cadmium and its compounds are a substantial industrial and environmental pollutant [6] which seriously impairs erythropoiesis. Cadmium accumulates in humans throughout their lives [7], with a negative effect on human beings and also to soil micro-organisms [8–11]. The development of the efficient antidotes for cadmium intoxication has proven to be a task of considerable difficulty. Two types of chelating agents can affect antidotes for cadmium intoxication: uncharged vicinal dithiols [12] and dithiocarbamates [13, 14]. The affinity of 1,1-dithiolate ligands for cadmium was indicated by the fact that the ligands can be employed as scavengers for this toxic element in biological media.

Divalent d¹⁰ metal ions have various coordination geometries. While cadmium chemistry of dithioacid based ligands like dithiocarbamate has been the subject of continuous study, the corresponding cadmium dithiophosphate chemistry has not been developed. The versatile bonding, structural features, and fascinating chemical as well as electrochemical reactivities of cadmium dialkyldithiophosphate complexes prompted us to make a systematic study of cadmium diphenyldithiophosphates. During the past four decades, molecular structures of cadmium(II) complexes are known for *O*,*O*'-dialkyldithiophosphate ligands [15–21]. In these complexes, *O*,*O*'-dialkyldithiophosphate ligands adopt a bridging coordination to form binuclear structures [Cd₂{S₂P(OR)₂}₄] (R = *s*-Bu [16], Cy [17], *i*-Pr [18, 19]) and polynuclear structures [Cd{S₂P(OR)₂}₂]_n (R = *i*-Bu) [20], (*n*-Pr and *n*-Bu) [21], eight-membered metallocycles [Cd₂S₄P₂] are formed in both bi- and polynuclear complexes.

These eight-membered metallocycles are easily destroyed by nitrogen donors in solution and result in adducts with distorted octahedral geometry around cadmium, such as $[Cd{S_2P} (OR)_2\}_2 \cdot L_n]$ (R = *i*-Pr, L = phen, n = 1 [22]; R = Et, L = hexamethylenetetramine, n = 2 [23]; R = *i*-Pr, L = py, n = 2 [24]). Herein, we report the synthesis, spectroscopic and structural properties of disubstituted diphenyldithiophosphate ligands with cadmium(II) and donor stabilized complexes.

2. Experimental

2.1. Materials and instrumentation

Solvents were distilled and dried over sodium before use. Chloroform (Thomas Baker) was dried over P_2O_5 . All dimethylphenols (Sigma Aldrich) were used as supplied.

Cd(NO₃)₂·4H₂O (Sigma Aldrich) was used as received. Triethylammonium salts of O.O'-disubstituted diphenyldithiophosphates were synthesized according to a literature procedure used for the synthesis of ditolyl dithiophosphates [25]. Moisture was carefully excluded during the experimental manipulations for the synthesis of ligands by using standard Schlenk techniques. Cadmium and chloride were estimated gravimetrically as [Cd $(C_5H_5N)_2$ and estimated volumetrically by Volhard's method, respectively [26]. Elemental analyses (C, H, N, S) were conducted using the Elemental Analyser Vario EL-III (Indian Institute of Integrative Medicine, Jammu). Infrared spectra were recorded from 4000 to 200 cm⁻¹ on a Perkin-Elmer spectrum RX1 FT-IR spectrophotometer (Sophisticated Analytical Instrumentation Facility, Panjab University, Chandigarh). ¹H, ¹³C and ³¹P (proton-decoupled) NMR spectra were recorded in CDCl₃ and DMSO-d₆ using TMS as internal reference and H₃PO₄ (85%) as external reference on a Bruker Avance III 400 MHz. All chemical shifts are reported in δ units downfield from TMS. TGA/DTA was recorded on a Linseis STA PT-1000 thermal analyser at a heating rate of 10 °C/min in air. NMR spectral and thermal analyses were carried out at the Department of Chemistry, University of Jammu, Jammu.

2.2. Synthesis of ligands

2.2.1. [{(2,4-CH₃)₂C₆H₃O]₂PS₂HNEt₃] (1). A toluene solution (~40 mL) of 2,4-dimethylphenol (4.39 g, 35.93 mM) was added dropwise to a toluene (~30 mL) suspension of P₂S₅ (2.00 g, 8.99 mM) with constant stirring. After stirring the contents for 5–7 min at ~40 °C, a toluene solution (~40 mL) of Et₃N (1.82 g, 17.98 mM) was added dropwise to it with constant stirring. All the P₂S₅ was dissolved in 15–20 min, resulting in a clear colorless solution and evolution of H₂S was observed. Evaporation of excess toluene under reduced pressure resulted in formation of **1** as a white crystalline solid in quantitative yield. The resulting solid was dissolved in toluene and a few drops of *n*-hexane were added for recrystallization at room temperature. Yield: 96% (7.52 g); m.p. 60–62 °C (dec); Anal. Calcd for C₁₆H₁₈O₂PS₂HNEt₃ (M.W. 439.61): C, 60.11; H, 7.80; S, 14.59; N, 3.19%. Found: C, 60.07; H, 7.77; S, 14.54; N, 3.13%. IR (KBr): 3397 b [N–H], 1196 s [*v*(P)–O–C], 870 s [*v*P–O–(C)], 673 s [*v*P=S], 580 m [*v*P–S] cm⁻¹.

2.2.2. [{(2,5-CH₃)₂C₆H₃O}₂PS₂HNEt₃] (2). Compound 2 was prepared as white crystalline solid by similar procedure as described above for 1 using 2,5-dimethylphenol (4.39 g, 35.93 mM), P_2S_5 (2.00 g, 8.99 mM), and Et₃N (1.82 g, 17.98 mM). The resulting solid was dissolved in toluene and a few drops of *n*-hexane were added for recrystallization at room temperature. Yield: 97% (7.60 g); m.p. 62–64 °C (dec); Anal. Calcd for C₁₆H₁₈O₂PS₂H-NEt₃ (M.W. 439.61): C, 60.11; H, 7.80; S, 14.59; N, 3.19%. Found: C, 60.08; H, 7.75; S, 14.55; N, 3.14%. IR (KBr): 3413 b [N–H], 1150 s [ν (P)–O–C], 877 s [ν P–O–(C)], 688 s [ν P=S], 575 m [ν P–S] cm⁻¹.

2.2.3. [{(3,4-CH₃)₂C₆H₃O}₂PS₂HNEt₃] (3). Compound 3 was prepared as white crystalline solid by similar procedure as described for 1 using 3,4-dimethylphenol (4.39 g, 35.93 mM), P_2S_5 (2.00 g, 8.99 mM) and Et₃N (1.82 g, 17.98 mM). The resulting solid was dissolved in toluene and a few drops of *n*-hexane were added for recrystallization at room temperature. Yield: 98% (7.68 g); m.p. 61–63 °C (dec); Anal. Calcd for $C_{16}H_{18}O_2PS_2H-NEt_3$ (M.W. 439.61): C, 60.11; H, 7.80; S, 14.59; N, 3.19%. Found: C, 60.07; H, 7.76; S, 14.54; N, 3.16%. IR (KBr): 3399 b [N–H], 1148 s [ν (P)–O–C], 856 s [ν P–O–(C)], 672 s [ν P=S], 574 m [ν P–S] cm⁻¹.

2.2.4. [{(3,5-CH₃)₂C₆H₃O}₂PS₂HNEt₃] (4). Compound 4 was prepared as white crystalline solid by similar procedure as described for 1 using 3,5-dimethylphenol (4.39 g, 35.93 mM), P_2S_5 (2.00 g, 8.99 mM), and Et₃N (1.82 g, 17.98 mM). The resulting solid was dissolved in toluene and a few drops of *n*-hexane were added for recrystallization at room temperature. Yield: 97% (7.60 g); m.p. 65–67 °C (dec); Anal. Calcd for C₁₆H₁₈O₂PS₂H-NEt₃ (M.W. 439.61): C, 60.11; H, 7.80; S, 14.59; N, 3.19%. Found: C, 60.09; H, 7.75; S, 14.53; N, 3.15%. IR (KBr): 3431 b [N–H], 1141 s [*v*(P)–O–C], 843 s [*v*P–O–(C)], 688 s [*v*P=S], 578 m [*v*P–S] cm⁻¹.

2.2.5. [{(2,4-CH₃)₂C₆H₃O]₂PS₂Na] (5). A weighed amount of sodium metal (0.42 g, 17.87 mM) was added to a toluene solution of triethylammonium salt [{2,4-(CH₃)₂C₆H₃O]₂PS₂HNEt₃] (1) and the mixture was stirred for 3 h at ~55 °C, which resulted in formation of white precipitates. The contents were cooled and then filtered by a funnel fitted with a G-4 sintered disk. Finally, the residue was dried under reduced pressure giving **5** as a white solid. Yield: 95.5% (6.18 g); m.p. 190–192 °C (dec); Anal. Calcd for C₁₆H₁₈O₂PS₂Na: C, 53.32; H, 5.03; S, 17.79%. Found: C, 53.29; H, 4.95; S, 17.77%. IR (KBr): 1172 s [ν (P)–O–C], 832 s [ν P–O–(C)], 652 s [ν P=S], 570 m [ν P–S] cm⁻¹.

2.2.6. [{(2,5-CH₃)₂C₆H₃O]₂PS₂Na] (6). Compound 6 was prepared as white powdery solid by similar procedure as described above for 5 using triethylammonium salt [{2,5-(CH₃)₂C₆H₃O]₂PS₂HNEt₃] (2) and sodium metal (0.42 g, 17.87 mM). Yield: 96.3% (6.24 g); m.p. 192–194 °C (dec); Anal. Calcd for C₁₆H₁₈O₂PS₂Na: C, 53.32; H, 5.03; S, 17.79%. Found: C, 53.30; H, 4.99; S, 17.74%. IR (KBr): 1159 s [ν (P)–O–C], 846 s [ν P–O–(C)], 670 s [ν P=S], 556 m [ν P–S] cm⁻¹.

2.2.7. [{(3,4-CH₃)₂C₆H₃O}₂PS₂Na] (7). Compound 7 was prepared as white powdery solid by similar procedure as described for 5 using triethylammonium salt [{3,4-(CH₃)₂C₆H₃O}₂PS₂HNEt₃] (3) and sodium metal (0.42 g, 17.87 mM). Yield: 97.6% (6.34 g); m.p. 190–192 °C (dec); Anal. Calcd for C₁₆H₁₈O₂PS₂Na: C, 53.32; H, 5.03; S, 17.79%. Found: C, 53.29; H, 4.96; S, 17.71%. IR (KBr): 1152 s [ν (P)–O–C], 842 s [ν P–O–(C)], 659 s [ν P=S], 563 m [ν P–S] cm⁻¹.

2.2.8. [{(3,5-CH₃)₂C₆H₃O}₂PS₂Na] (8). Compound 8 was prepared as white powdery solid by similar procedure as described for 5 using triethylammonium salt [{3,5-(CH₃)₂C₆H₃O}₂PS₂HNEt₃] (4) and sodium metal (0.42 g, 17.87 mM). Yield: 97.4% (6.31 g); m.p. 194–196 °C (dec); Anal. Calcd for C₁₆H₁₈O₂PS₂Na: C, 53.32; H, 5.03; S, 17.79%. Found: C, 53.27; H, 4.97; S, 17.76%. IR (KBr): 1149 s [ν (P)–O–C], 836 s [ν P–O–(C)], 661 s [ν P==S], 559 m [ν P–S] cm⁻¹.

2.3. Synthesis of complexes

2.3.1. [{(2,4-CH₃)₂C₆H₃O]₂PS₂]₂Cd (9). To an aqueous solution of Cd(NO₃)₂·4H₂O (0.42 g, 1.36 mM), an aqueous solution of [{(2,4-CH₃)₂C₆H₃O]₂PS₂Na] (1.00 g, 2.77 mM) was added in 1 : 2 M ratio with constant stirring at room temperature. A white solid mass precipitated out immediately. After 30 min of stirring, the reaction contents were filtered using an SG-4 sintered glass crucible to obtain [{(2,4-CH₃)₂C₆H₃O]₂PS₂]₂Cd (9) as white solid. The resulting solid was recrystallized from a chloroform/*n*-hexane mixture at room temperature. Yield: 91.2% (0.97 g); m.p. 142–144 °C (dec); Anal. Calcd for C₃₂H₃₆O₄P₂S₄Cd (M.W. 787.24): C, 48.82; H, 4.61; S, 16.29; Cd, 14.28. Found: C, 48.79; H, 4.59; S, 16.27; Cd, 14.25. IR (KBr): 1088 s [ν (P)–O–C], 939 s [ν P–O–(C)], 653 s [ν P–S]_{asym}, 583 m [ν P–S]_{asym}, 262 w [ν Cd–S] cm⁻¹.

2.3.2. [{(2,5-CH₃)₂C₆H₃O}₂PS₂]₂Cd (10). Complex 10 was obtained as white solid by similar procedure as described for 9 using Cd(NO₃)₂·4H₂O (0.42 g, 1.36 mM) and [{(2,5-CH₃)₂C₆H₃O}₂PS₂Na] (1.00 g, 2.77 mM). The resulting solid was recrystallized from a chloroform/*n*-hexane mixture at room temperature. Yield: 93.4% (0.99 g); m.p. 144–146 °C (dec); Anal. Calcd for $C_{32}H_{36}O_4P_2S_4Cd$ (M.W. 787.24): C, 48.82; H, 4.61; S, 16.29; Cd, 14.28. Found: C, 48.76; H, 4.56; S, 16.25; Cd, 14.24. IR (KBr): 1097 s [ν (P)–O–C], 952 s [ν P–O–(C)], 669 s [ν P–S]_{asym}, 608 m [ν P–S]_{sym}, 263 w [ν Cd–S] cm⁻¹.

2.3.3. [{(3,4-CH₃)₂C₆H₃O}₂PS₂]₂Cd (11). Complex 11 was obtained as white solid by similar procedure as described for 9 using Cd(NO₃)₂·4H₂O (0.42 g, 1.36 mM) and [{(3,4-CH₃)₂C₆H₃O}₂PS₂Na] (1.00 g, 2.77 mM). The resulting solid was recrystallized from a chloroform/*n*-hexane mixture at room temperature. Yield: 91.8% (0.98 g); m.p. 143–145 °C (dec); Anal. Calcd for $C_{32}H_{36}O_4P_2S_4Cd$ (M.W. 787.24): C, 48.82; H, 4.61; S, 16.29; Cd, 14.28. Found: C, 48.80; H, 4.59; S, 16.26; Cd, 14.22. IR (KBr): 1124 s [ν (P)–O–C], 970 s [ν P–O–(C)], 668 s [ν P–S]_{asym}, 639 m [ν P–S]_{sym}, 264 w [ν Cd–S] cm⁻¹.

2.3.4. [{(3,5-CH₃)₂C₆H₃O]₂PS₂]₂Cd (12). Complex 12 was obtained as white solid by similar procedure as described for 9 using Cd(NO₃)₂·4H₂O (0.42 g, 1.36 mM) and [{(3,5-CH₃)₂C₆H₃O]₂PS₂Na] (1.00 g, 2.77 mM). The resulting solid was recrystallized from a chloroform/*n*-hexane mixture at room temperature. Yield: 92.6% (0.99 g); m.p. 144–146 °C (dec); Anal. Calcd for C₃₂H₃₆O₄P₂S₄Cd (M.W. 787.24): C, 48.82; H, 4.61; S, 16.29; Cd, 14.28. Found: C, 48.77; H, 4.58; S, 16.27; Cd, 14.25. IR (KBr): 1101 s [ν (P)–O–C], 947 s [ν P–O–(C)], 653 s [ν P–S]_{asym}, 593 m [ν P–S]_{sym}, 260 w [ν Cd–S] cm⁻¹.

2.4. Synthesis of adducts

2.4.1. [{(2,4-CH₃)₂C₆H₃O}₂PS₂]₂Cd(NC₅H₅)₂ (13). To a chloroform solution of [{(2,4-CH₃)₂C₆H₃O}₂PS₂]₂Cd (9) (1.00 g, 1.27 mM), chloroform solution of pyridine (0.20 g, 2.53 mM) was added dropwise with constant stirring at room temperature. Colorless solution changes to pale yellow within 15 min. The contents were stirred for a further 30 min at room temperature. The solvent was then evaporated under vacuum, which results in 13 as pale yellow solid. The resulting solid was recrystallized from a chloroform/*n*-hexane

mixture at room temperature. Yield: 88.6% (1.06 g); m.p. 162–164 °C (dec); Anal. Calcd for $C_{42}H_{46}N_2O_4P_2S_4Cd$: C, 53.36; H, 4.90; S, 13.57; N, 2.96; Cd, 11.89. Found: C, 53.34; H, 4.87; S, 13.55; N, 2.93; Cd, 11.86. IR (KBr): 1116 s [ν (P)–O–C], 996 s [ν P–O–(C)], 642 s [ν P–S]_{asym}, 586 m [ν P–S]_{sym}, 260 w [ν Cd–S], 540 w [ν Cd–N] cm⁻¹.

2.4.2. [{(2,5-CH₃)₂C₆H₃O}₂PS₂]₂Cd(NC₅H₅)₂ (14). Complex 14 was obtained as pale yellow solid by similar procedure as described for 13 using pyridine (0.20 g, 2.53 mM) and [{(2,5-CH₃)₂C₆H₃O}₂PS₂]₂Cd (10) (1.00 g, 1.27 mM). The resulting solid was recrystallized from a chloroform/*n*-hexane mixture at room temperature. Yield: 87.4% (1.04 g); m.p. 164–166 °C (dec); Anal. Calcd for C₄₂H₄₆N₂O₄P₂S₄Cd: C, 53.36; H, 4.90; S, 13.57; N, 2.96; Cd, 11.89. Found: C, 53.32; H, 4.86; S, 13.56; N, 2.94; Cd, 11.86. IR (KBr): 1141 s [ν (P)–O–C], 960 s [ν P–O–(C)], 645 s [ν P–S]_{asym}, 594 m [ν P–S]_{sym}, 263 w [ν Cd–S], 531 w [ν Cd–N] cm⁻¹.

2.4.3. [{(3,4-CH₃)₂C₆H₃O]₂PS₂]₂Cd(NC₅H₅)₂ (15). Complex 15 was obtained as pale yellow solid by similar procedure as described for 13 using pyridine (0.20 g, 2.53 mM) and 11 (1.00 g, 1.27 mM). The resulting solid was recrystallized from a chloroform/*n*-hexane mixture at room temperature. Yield: 88.3% (1.05 g); m.p. 162–164 °C (dec); Anal. Calcd for C₄₂H₄₆N₂O₄P₂S₄Cd: C, 53.36; H, 4.90; S, 13.57; N, 2.96; Cd, 11.89. Found: C, 53.34; H, 4.83; S, 13.53; N, 2.93; Cd, 11.83. IR (KBr): 1127 s [ν (P)–O–C], 957 s [ν P–O–(C)], 672 s [ν P–S]_{asym}, 621 m [ν P–S]_{sym}, 261 w [ν Cd–S], 533 w [ν Cd–N] cm⁻¹.

2.4.4. [{(3,5-CH₃)₂C₆H₃O}₂PS₂]₂Cd(NC₅H₅)₂ (16). Complex 16 was obtained as pale yellow solid by similar procedure as described for 13 using pyridine (0.20 g, 2.53 mM) and 12 (1.00 g, 1.27 mM). The resulting solid was recrystallized from a chloroform/*n*-hexane mixture at room temperature. Yield: 89.4% (1.07 g); m.p. 163–165 °C (dec); Anal. Calcd for C₄₂H₄₆N₂O₄P₂S₄Cd: C, 53.36; H, 4.90; S, 13.57; N, 2.96; Cd, 11.89. Found: C, 53.33; H, 4.86; S, 13.52; N, 2.92; Cd, 11.84. IR (KBr): 1128 s [ν (P)–O–C], 954 s [ν P–O–(C)], 633 s [ν P–S]_{asym}, 615 m [ν P–S]_{sym}, 265 w [ν Cd–S], 521 w [ν Cd–N] cm⁻¹.

2.5. X-ray crystallography

Crystallization of **4** was executed by dissolving solid in toluene and a few drops of *n*-hexane were added, then solvents were allowed to evaporate slowly to obtain white single crystals. The crystallization of **16** was achieved by slow evaporation of chloroform/*n*-hexane mixture which resulted in pale yellow crystals at room temperature. The structures of **4** and **16** were determined by single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis. X-ray intensity data were collected by using an X'calibur Oxford Diffraction single-crystal X-ray diffractometer with graphite monochromated Mo K_{α} radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å) and reduced with CrysAlis *RED* [27]. Data were corrected for Lorentz, polarization and absorption factors. The structure was solved by direct methods using SHELXS97 and refined by SHELXL97 [28]. The geometry of the molecule is determined by PLATON [29] and PARST [30] software. All hydrogens were geometrically fixed and allowed to ride on their parent carbon, with C–H distances of 0.93–0.97 Å and with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$, except for the methyl group where $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(C)$. The crystallographic data are summarized in table 1.

Compound	4	16
Crystal system	Monoclinic	Monoclinic
Space group	$P2_{l}/c$	$P2_{l}/c$
Temperature, K	293(2)	293(2)
Empirical formula	C ₂₂ H ₃₄ NO ₂ PS ₂	$C_{42}H_{46}CdN_2O_4P_2S_4$
Z	4	2
Formula weight	439.59	945.39
a (Å)	8.1973(7)	12.0398(2)
$b(\mathbf{A})$	11.6205(8)	14.4082(3)
c (Å)	25.6019(22)	15.3752(2)
α (°)	90.00	90.00
β (°)	93.769(7)	125.4560(10)
γ (°)	90.00	90.00
$V(Å^3)$	2433.48(12)	2172.57(8)
D_{Calcd} (g/cm ³)	1.20	1.445
$F(0\ 0\ 0)$	943.9	972
θ Range for data collection (°)	3.60-26.00	3.55-27.00
No. of collected reflections	9823	36,504
No. of unique reflections	4781	4736
No. of data/restraints/parameters	4781/0/264	4736/0/273
$R_1, wR_2 [I > 2\sigma(I)]$	0.0624, 0.1382	0.0295, 0.0728
R_1 , wR_2 (all data)	0.0944, 0.1600	0.0381, 0.0805
Goodness-of-fit on F^2	1.037	1.112
Largest diff. peak/hole (e $Å^{-3}$)	0.412/-0.31	0.488/-0.520
CCDC No.	971866	971951

Table 1. Crystal data and structure refinements for 4 and 16.

3. Results and discussion

Literature reports describe the isolation of phenyl/tolyl dithiophosphates [25]. However, there is no report available so far of similar compounds having disubstituted phenyl moiety. Triethylammonium salt of disubstituted diphenyldithiophosphates has been isolated for the first time by a facile reaction of 2,4-, 2,5-, 3,4- or 3,5-dimethylphenol with P_2S_5 in the presence of triethylamine in 4 : 1 : 2 M ratio in toluene at room temperature. The triethylammonium salts corresponding to $(ArO)_2PS_2HNEt_3$, where $Ar = 2,4-(CH_3)_2C_6H_3$ (1), 2,5-(CH₃)₂C₆H₃ (2), 3,4-(CH₃)₂C₆H₃ (3), and 3,5-(CH₃)₂C₆H₃ (4), are obtained as white crystalline solid in quantitative yield after removing of excess solvent (scheme 1).

These triethylammonium salts (1-4) are fairly soluble in common organic solvents like toluene, chloroform, methylene dichloride or benzene and are insoluble in carbon tetrachloride or *n*-hexane. The triethylammonium salts could easily be converted into sodium salts as white solids by direct reaction with sodium metal in toluene in equimolar ratio under strictly anhydrous atmosphere (scheme 2).

Sodium salts are soluble in water, DMSO, methanol, and ethanol, sparingly soluble in chloroform, and insoluble in most other hydrocarbon solvents.

$$4\text{ArOH} + P_2S_5 + 2\text{Et}_3\text{N} \xrightarrow{\text{Toluene}} 2(\text{ArO})_2\text{PS}_2\text{HNEt}_3$$

$$(1-4)$$

$$[\text{Ar} = 2,4-(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3 (1), 2,5-(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3 (2), 3,4-(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3 (3) \text{ and } 3,5-(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{C}_6\text{H}_3 (4)]$$

Scheme 1.

 $(ArO)_2 PS_2 HNEt_3 + Na \xrightarrow{Toluene} (ArO)_2 PS_2 Na$ $(1-4) \xrightarrow{-1/2 H_2} (ArO)_2 PS_2 Na$ (5-8)

Scheme 2.

Cadmium diphenyldithiophosphates $[{(ArO)_2PS_2}_2Cd]$ (Ar = 2,4-(CH₃)₂C₆H₃, 2,5-(CH₃)₂C₆H₃, 3,4-(CH₃)₂C₆H₃, and 3,5-(CH₃)₂C₆H₃) (**9**–12) have been isolated as white solid by the reaction of sodium salts of *O*,*O'*-diphenyldithiophosphoric acids (**5–8**) and cadmium nitrate tetrahydrate, Cd(NO₃)₂·4H₂O, in 2 : 1 M stoichiometry in water (scheme 3).

The donor stabilized cadmium diphenyldithiophosphates $[{(ArO)_2PS_2}_2Cd(NC_5H_5)_2]$ (Ar = 2,4-(CH₃)₂C₆H₃, 2,5-(CH₃)₂C₆H₃, 3,4-(CH₃)₂C₆H₃, and 3,5-(CH₃)₂C₆H₃) (**13–16**) were prepared by the reaction of cadmium diphenyldithiophosphates (**9–12**) with pyridine in 1 : 2 stoichiometry in chloroform (scheme 4).

All these complexes and adducts are soluble in common organic solvents and insoluble in solvents like *n*-hexane and carbon tetrachloride.

3.1. IR spectra

IR spectra of 1–16 were interpreted on the basis of relevant literature reports [25, 31–34]. IR spectra have a broad absorption for $[\nu$ N–H] at 3431–3397 cm⁻¹ in 1–4, while these absorptions were absent in 5–8. In 1–8, $[\nu$ (P)–O–C] and $[\nu$ P–O–(C)] were at 1196–1141 and 877–832 cm⁻¹, respectively. Sharp to medium intensity bands at 688–652 and 580–556 cm⁻¹ are assignable to $[\nu$ P=S] and $[\nu$ P–S] (asymmetric and symmetric) vibrations. Comparison of IR spectra of the complexes and donor stabilized complexes with starting materials has also shown significant changes. Bands due to $[\nu$ N–H] vibrations are absent in 9–16. Two strong bands were observed at 1141–1088 and 996–939 cm⁻¹ in 9–16, which may be ascribed to $[\nu$ (P)–O–C] and $[\nu$ P–O–(C)] of dimethyl diphenyldithiophosphate,

$$\begin{array}{cccc} & & & H_2O \\ 2(ArO)_2PS_2Na + Cd(NO_3)_2.4H_2O & & H_2O \\ \hline Stirring~30 \text{ min} \\ -2NaNO_3 & & (9-12) \end{array}$$

$$[Ar = 2,4-(CH_3)_2C_6H_3(9), 2,5-(CH_3)_2C_6H_3(10), 3,4-(CH_3)_2C_6H_3(11) and 3,5-(CH_3)_2C_6H_3(12)]$$

Scheme 3.

$$[\{(ArO)_2PS_2\}_2Cd] + 2C_5H_5N \xrightarrow{Chloroform} [\{(ArO)_2PS_2\}_2Cd(NC_5H_5)_2]$$
(9-12) (13-16)

 $[Ar = 2,4-(CH_3)_2C_6H_3 (13), 2,5-(CH_3)_2C_6H_3 (14), 3,4-(CH_3)_2C_6H_3 (15) \text{ and } 3,5-(CH_3)_2C_6H_3 (16)]$

Scheme 4.

respectively. The regions of IR spectra for P–S are of particular interest. Bands for $[\nu P-S]_{asym}$ and $[\nu P-S]_{sym}$ of diphenyldithiophosphate in **9–16** were observed at 672–633 and 639–583 cm⁻¹, respectively. This shift and appearance of closely spaced bands arising from $\nu(PS_2)$ vibrations in **9–16** are quite diagnostic to propose bidentate bonding of dithio moiety with cadmium. Appearance of new bands of ν Cd–S (in comparison to free ligand) at 265–260 cm⁻¹ indicates formation of cadmium–sulfur bonds [31]. The presence of bound pyridine to cadmium via nitrogen in **13–16** can be supported by the existence of a band at 540–521 cm⁻¹, assigned to ν Cd–N [32, 33].

3.2. ¹H, ¹³C and ³¹P NMR spectra

¹H NMR spectra of **1–16** exhibited phenyl and pyridine proton signals with the expected peak multiplicities (table 2). For **9–16** chemical shifts of the methyl protons of the phenyl rings were observed at 2.14–2.36 ppm as a very sharp 12 proton singlet (**9–11** and **13–15**) and a 24 proton singlet in **12** and **16**. The aromatic protons of the phenyl groups were observed at 6.77–7.37 ppm with their characteristic splitting patterns. The chemical shifts for protons due to pyridine are observed at 7.21–8.55 ppm.

The ¹³C NMR spectral data show the chemical shifts expected for the carbons present in the molecule (table 2). ¹³C NMR spectra of **9–12** and adducts **13–16** show the chemical shifts of carbons of phenyl rings with a marginal shift in their values compared to the parent ligands (**5–8**). The chemical shift for the methyl (–CH₃) carbon, attached to phenyl, was found at 16.61–21.29 ppm. The carbon nuclei of the aryl groups have resonances at 118.69–139.08 ppm. The chemical shifts for C–O carbons were observed at 142.29–151.58 ppm. The carbons on the pyridine rings in **13–16** have three peaks at 122.46–149.69 ppm.

³¹P NMR spectra (proton-decoupled) displayed a single resonance in each case. The ³¹P NMR spectra of **9–12** have a singlet in each case in the upfield region 101.02–101.86 ppm compared to the parent compounds (106.02–107.48 ppm) with a difference of 5–6 ppm. This shift may be attributed to bidentate dithiophosphate [35]. Adducts **13–16** showed the ³¹P chemical shift as a singlet in the downfield region 104.23–106.12 ppm compared to **9–12** with a difference of 3–5 ppm, which can be attributed to binding of two pyridines leading to six coordinate Cd. Occurrence of a singlet in each case indicated the equivalent nature of phosphorus nuclei in the molecule. More upfield shift was observed in four coordinate **9–12** than six coordinate **13–16** compared to the parent ligands. A summary of ¹H, ¹³C and ³¹P NMR data is presented in table 2.

ORTEP [36] view of triethylammonium salt **4** and adduct **16** with atomic labeling is shown in figures 1 and 2, respectively. Selected bond lengths and angles of both compounds are shown in tables 3 and 4, respectively. In the ORTEP diagram (figure 1), the expected distorted tetrahedral environment around phosphorus can be clearly seen with two sulfurs and two oxygens bound to phosphorus [figure 3(a)]. Compound **4** consists of triethyl ammonium and O,O'-bis[3,5-dimethylphenyl]dithiophosphate, connected through N1–H1…S2 intermolecular hydrogen bond (figure 4). The N1–H1 bond length is fixed at 0.94 Å, while the N1–S2 distance refines to 3.20(3) Å. This N1–S2 distance of 3.20(3) compares well

S. No.	Compounds	¹ H, ¹³ C and ³¹ P Chemical shift (δ)
1	H ₃ C $\overset{5}{\swarrow}$ O H ₃ C $\overset{5}{\swarrow}$ O H ₂ C H ₃ PS ₂ HNEt ₃	¹ H NMR (CDCl ₃ , ppm): 1.25 (t, $J = 14.4$ Hz, 9H, CH ₃ of Et ₃ N), 2.28 (s, 6H, 2-CH ₃), 2.33 (s, 6H, 4-CH ₃), 3.12 (q, 6H, CH ₂ of Et ₃ N), 6.98 (d, $J = 8$ Hz, 2H, H ₆), 7.00 (d, $J = 8$ Hz, 2H, H ₆), 7.55 (s, 2H, H ₃), 9.32 (s, 1H, -NH):
	CH ₃	¹³ C NMR (CDCl ₃ , ppm): 8.55 (CH ₃ of Et ₃ N), 17.48 (2-CH ₃), 20.82 (4-CH ₃), 46.18 (CH ₂ of Et ₃ N), 114.96 (C ₆), 121.47 (C ₂ -CH ₃), 127.21 (C ₅), 130.59 (C ₄ -CH ₃), 133.18 (C ₃), 151.97 (C1-O); ³¹ P NMR (CDCl ₃ , ppm): 106.95 (s)
2	$H_{3C} \xrightarrow{s}_{4} \xrightarrow{c}_{7} O$ $H_{3C} \xrightarrow{s}_{4} \xrightarrow{c}_{7} CH_{3} PS_{2} HNEt_{3}$	¹ H NMR (CDCl ₃ , ppm): 1.25 (t, $J = 14.4$ Hz, 9H, CH ₃ of Et ₃ N), 2.17 (s, 6H, 2-CH ₃), 2.22 (s, 6H, 5-CH ₃), 3.12 (q, 6H, CH ₂ of Et ₃ N), 6.77 (d, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 2H, H ₃), 7.02 (d, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 2H, H ₄), 7.41 (s, 2H, H ₆), 9.03 (s, 1H, -NH); ¹³ C
	CH ₃	NMR (CDCl ₃ , ppm): 8.53 (CH ₃ of Et ₃ N), 16.63 (2-CH ₃), 20.90 (5-CH ₃), 46.28 (CH ₂ of Et ₃ N), 121.46 (C ₆), 126.64 (C ₄), 127.32 (C ₂ -CH ₃), 131.11 (C ₃), 136.83 (C ₅ -CH ₃), 149.56 (C ₁ -O): ³¹ P NMR (CDCl ₂ , ppm): 106.40 (s)
3	$H_{3C} \xrightarrow{s}_{2} O$ $H_{3C} \xrightarrow{s}_{2} O$ $H_{3C} \xrightarrow{s}_{2} O$ $PS_{2} HNEt_{3}$	¹ H NMR (CDCl ₃ , ppm): 1.26 (t, $J = 14.4$ Hz, 9H, CH ₃ of Et ₃ N), 2.21 (s, 6H, 3-CH ₃), 2.23 (s, 6H, 4-CH ₃), 3.13 (q, 6H, CH ₂ of Et ₃ N), 7.06 (d, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 2H, H ₆), 7.17 (s, 2H, H ₂), 737 (d, $J = 8$ Hz, 2H, H ₆), 920 (s, 1H, $-$ NH)
	$H_3C \longrightarrow T_2 \to T_2$	¹³ C NMR (CDCl ₃ , ppm): 8.57 (CH ₃ of Et ₃ N), 19.12 (4-CH ₃), 19.96 (3-CH ₃), 46.31 (CH ₂ of Et ₃ N), 116.77 (C ₆), 119.18 (C ₂), 130.30 (C ₄ -CH ₃), 132.09 (C ₅), 137.14 (C ₃ -CH ₃), 150.56 (C ₂ O): ³¹ D N/M (CDCl - arcm), 106.23 (c)
4	H ₃ C that the second s	^{130.36} (c_1 –O), P NMR (CDCl ₃ , ppm). 100.02 (s) ¹ H NMR (CDCl ₃ , ppm): 1.29 (t, $J = 14.4$ Hz, 9H, CH ₃ of Et ₃ N), 2.29 (s, 12H, 3,5-(CH ₃) ₂), 3.15 (q, 6H, CH ₂ of Et ₃ N), 6.75 (s, 4H, H _{2.56}), 7.03 (s, 2H, H ₄), 9.25 (s, 1H, -NH); ¹³⁰ (CDCl ₄)
	H_3C f_3C f_3C f_3C f_3C f_3C $hnEt_3$ h_3C	(3,5-(CH ₃) ₂), 46.21 (CH ₂ of Et ₃ N), 119.72 (C _{2,6}), 125.70 (C ₄), 138.40 (C _{3,5} -CH ₃), 152.58 (C ₁ -O); ³¹ P NMR (CDCl ₃ , ppm): 106.78 (s)
5	$H_3C \xrightarrow{5}{} O$ $H_3C \xrightarrow{5}{} O$ $H_3C \xrightarrow{5}{} O$ $H_3C \xrightarrow{5}{} O$ $H_3C \xrightarrow{5}{} O$	¹ H NMR (DMSO-d ₆ , ppm): 2.07 (s, 6H, 2-CH ₃), 2.17 (s, 6H, 4-CH ₃), 6.47 (d, $J = 8$ Hz, 2H, H ₅), 6.73 (s, 2H, H ₃), 6.80 (d, $J = 8$ Hz, 2H, H ₆); ¹³ C NMR (DMSO-d ₆ , ppm): 17.00 (2-CH ₃), 19.72 (4-CH ₃), 117.66 (C ₆), 122.74 (C ₂ -CH ₃),
6	H ₃ C ₅	126.70 (C ₅), 127.25 (C ₄ -CH ₃), 131.01 (C ₃), 161.97 (C ₁ -O); ³¹ P NMR (DMSO-d ₆ , ppm): 107.48 (s) ¹ H NMR (DMSO-d ₆ , ppm): 2.23 (s, 6H, 2-CH ₃), 2.28 (s, 6H, 5 CH ₂) 682 (d, $L=76$ Hz 2H H ₂) 7.11 (d, $L=76$ Hz 2H
	$H_3C_3 \xrightarrow{2}_{C}CH_3$ PS ₂ Na $H_3C_4 \xrightarrow{2}_{C}CH_3$ PS ₂ Na $H_3C_4 \xrightarrow{2}_{C}CH_3$ O	H_{4}), 7.47 (s, 2H, H ₆); ¹³ C NMR (DMSO-d ₆ , ppm): 17.12 (2-CH ₃), 20.32 (5-CH ₃), 123.52 (C ₆), 127.63 (C ₄), 128.47 (C ₂ -CH ₃), 131.62 (C ₃), 137.42 (C ₅ -CH ₃), 151.42 (C ₁ -O); ³¹ P
7	$H_3C \xrightarrow{5}{4} PS_2 Na$ $H_3C \xrightarrow{5}{4} PS_2 Na$ $H_3C \xrightarrow{5}{4} PS_2 Na$ $H_3C \xrightarrow{5}{4} PS_2 Na$	NMR (DMSO-d ₆ , ppm): 106.62 (s) ¹ H NMR (DMSO-d ₆ , ppm): 2.15 (s, 6H, 4-CH ₃), 2.16 (s, 6H, 3-CH ₃), 6.97 (d, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 2H, H ₆), 7.00 (s, 2H, H ₂), 7.36 (d, $J = 8$ Hz, 2H, H ₅); ¹³ C NMR (DMSO-d ₆ , ppm): 19.14
8	H ₃ C	(4-CH ₃), 20.01 (3-CH ₃), 117.34 (C ₆), 119.13 (C ₂), 122.96 (C ₄ -CH ₃), 127.84 (C ₅), 136.62 (C ₃ -CH ₃), 151.42 (C ₁ -O); ³¹ P NMR (DMSO-d ₆ , ppm): 107.31(s) ¹ H NMR (DMSO-d ₆ , ppm): 1.99 (s, 12H, 3.5-(CH ₃) ₂), 6.54
	H_3C H_3C H_3C H_3C H_3C	(s, 4H, H _{2,6}), 6.73 (s, 2H, H ₄); ¹³ C NMR (DMSO-d ₆ , ppm): 23.12 (3,5-(CH ₃) ₂), 122.56 (C _{2,6}), 128.64 (C ₄), 142.33 (C _{3,5} -CH ₃), 154.53 (C ₁ -O); ³¹ P NMR (DMSO-d ₆ , ppm): 106.59 (s)
	H_3C	

Table 2. ¹H, ¹³C and ³¹P NMR spectroscopic data of **1–16**.

2934

(Continued)

Table 2. (Continued).



¹H, ¹³C and ³¹P Chemical shift (δ)

¹H NMR (CDCl₃, ppm): 2.20 (s, 12H, 2-CH₃), 2.36 (s, 12H, 4-CH₃), 7.01 (d, J = 8 Hz, 4H, H₆), 7.07 (d, J = 8 Hz, 4H, H₅), 7.37 (s, 4H, H₃); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, ppm): 17.72 (2-CH₃), 20.81 (4-CH₃), 120.83 (C₆), 127.44 (C₂-CH₃), 129.96 (C₅), 132.13 (C₄-CH₃), 135.42 (C₃), 146.84 (C₁-O); ³¹P NMR (CDCl₃, ppm): 101.46 (s)

¹H NMR (CDCl₃, ppm): 2.27 (s, 12H, 2-CH₃), 2.29 (s, 12H, 5-CH₃), 6.96 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 4H, H₃), 7.12 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 4H, H₄), 7.31 (s, 4H, H₆) ppm; ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, ppm): 16.61 (2-CH₃), 20.99 (5-CH₃), 121.46 (C₆), 126.62 (C₄), 127.31 (C₂-CH₃), 131.24 (C₃), 136.91 (C₅-CH₃), 149.51 (C₁-O); ³¹P NMR (CDCl₃, ppm): 101.26 (s)

¹H NMR (CDCl₃, ppm): 2.28 (s, 12H, 4-CH₃), 2.31 (s, 12H, 3-CH₃), 6.86 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 4H, H₆), 6.95 (s, 4H, H₂), 7.06 (d, J = 8 Hz, 4H, H₅); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, ppm): 19.20 (4-CH₃), 19.93 (3-CH₃), 118.69 (C₆), 122.46 (C₂), 130.44 (C₄-CH₃), 133.88 (C₅), 138.10 (C₃-CH₃), 149.29 (C₁-O); ³¹P NMR (CDCl₃, ppm): 101.02 (s)

¹H NMR (CDCl₃, ppm): 2.35 (s, 24H, 3,5-(CH₃)₂), 6.77 (s, 8H, H_{2.6}), 7.00 (s, 4H, H₄); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, ppm): 21.28 (3,5-(CH₃)₂), 119.35 (C_{2.6}), 126.58 (C₄), 138.95 (C_{3.5}-CH₃), 151.17 (C₁-O); ³¹P NMR (CDCl₃, ppm): 101.86 (s)

¹H NMR (CDCl₃, ppm): 2.18 (s, 12H, 2-CH₃), 2.25 (s, 12H, 4-CH₃), 7.00 (d, J = 8 Hz, 4H, H₆), 7.06 (d, J = 8 Hz, 4H, H₅), 7.26 (t, J = 14.4 Hz, 4H, H_{2.4}, C₅H₅ N), 7.35 (s, 4H, H₃), 7.68 (t, J = 13.6 Hz, 2H, H₃, C₅H₅ N), 8.52 (d, J = 8 Hz, 4H, H_{1.5}, C₅H₅ N); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, ppm): 17.70 (2-CH₃), 20.78 (4-CH₃), 121.13 (C₆), 126.42 (C₂-CH₃), 128.31 (C₅), 131.26 (C₄-CH₃), 134.32 (C₃), 149.63 (C₁-O), 122.46, 136.41, 149.43 (C₅H₅ N); ³¹P NMR (CDCl₃, ppm): 106.12 (s)

¹H NMR (CDCl₃, ppm): 2.19 (s, 12H, 2-CH₃), 2.24 (s, 12H, 5-CH₃), 6.94 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 4H, H₃), 7.14 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 4H, H₄), 7.24 (t, J = 14 Hz, 4H, H_{2.4}, C₅H₅ N), 7.29 (s, 4H, H₆), 7.62 (t, J = 12.8 Hz, 2H, H₃, C₅H₅ N), 8.51 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 4H, H_{1.5}, C₅H₅ N); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, ppm): 17.42 (2-CH₃), 19.63 (5-CH₃), 121.32 (C₆), 125.43 (C₃), 127.10 (C₂-CH₃), 130.46 (C₃), 135.42 (C₅-CH₃), 149.50 (C₁-O) 122.86, 136.23, 149.62 (C₅H₅ N); ³¹P NMR (CDCl₃, ppm): 104.21(s)

¹H NMR (CDCl₃, ppm): 2.14 (s, 12H, 4-CH₃), 2.17 (s, 12H, 3-CH₃), 6.98 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 4H, H₆), 7.07 (s, 4H, H₂), 7.21 (t, J = 14.4 Hz, 4H, H_{2.4}, C₅H₅ N), 7.31 (d, J = 8 Hz, 4H, H₃), 7.66 (t, J = 14 Hz, 2H, H₃, C₅H₅ N), 8.55 (d, J = 8 Hz, 4H, H_{1.5}, C₅H₅ N), ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, ppm): 19.18 (4-CH₃), 19.89 (3-CH₃), 119.07 (C₆), 124.00 (C₂), 130.06 (C₄-CH₃), 132.87 (C₅), 137.46 (C₃-CH₃), 149.79 (C₁-O),122.90, 136.71, 149.69 (C₅H₅ N); ³¹P NMR (CDCl₃, ppm): 106.11(s)

(Continued)

S. No.	Compounds	¹ H, ¹³ C and ³¹ P Chemical shift (δ)
16	$\begin{array}{c} H_{3}C & & & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{2} O & & \\ P & & & \\ S & & & \\ H_{3}C & & & \\ H_{3}C & & & \\ \end{array} \xrightarrow{2} O & & \\ S & & & \\ O & & & \\ S & & \\ S & & \\ CH_{3} & $	¹ H NMR (CDCl ₃ , ppm): 2.31 (s, 24H, 3,5-(CH ₃) ₂), 6.86 (s, 8H, H _{2.6}), 7.03 (s, 4H, H ₄), 7.28 (t, $J = 14.4$ Hz, 4H, H _{2.4} , C ₅ H ₅ N), 7.77 (t, $J = 13.6$ Hz, 2H, H ₃ , C ₅ H ₅ N), 8.50 (d, $J = 4.4$ Hz, 4H, H _{1,5} , C ₅ H ₅ N); ¹³ C NMR (CDCl ₃ , ppm): 21.29 (3,5-(CH ₃) ₂), 119.48 (C _{2.6}), 126.88 (C ₄), 139.08 (C _{3.5} -CH ₃), 151.58 (C ₁ -O),124.38, 137.52, 149.60 (C ₅ H ₅ N); ³¹ P NMR (CDCl ₃ , ppm): 104.23 (s)

Table 2. (Continued).

Notes: s = singlet, d = doublet, q = quartet, m = multiplet.



Figure 1. ORTEP view of 4 showing the atom-labeling scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 40% probability level.

with the values of 3.25(5) and 3.249(6) Å reported for $[Et_3NH]^+[(2-MeC_6H_4O)_2PS_2]^-$ [37] and $[Et_3NH]^+[(OCH_2CMe_2CH_2O)P(S)(S)]^-$ [38], respectively.

P1–S1 and P1–S2 bond lengths in **4** [P1–S1 = 1.9389(12) and P1–S2 = 1.9586(12) Å] are comparable with the lengths of a single (2.14 Å) and double (1.94 Å) P–S bond [19]. In **16**, phosphorus–sulfur bonds [P1–S1 = 1.9759(8) and P1–S2 = 1.9779(8) Å] are intermediate between single and double P–S bonds, which suggests that the negative charge is delocalized over the S–P–S fragment. The S1–P1–S2 bond angle in {(3,5-CH₃)₂C₆H₃O}₂PS₂HNEt₃ [119.31(6)°] is slightly smaller than those of another literature reported triethylammonium salt, [Et₃NH]⁺[CH₂{6-*t*-Bu-4-Me-C₆H₄O}₂P(S)(S)]⁻ [38].



Figure 2. ORTEP view of 16 showing the atom-labeling scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 40% probability level.

Table 3. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for 4.

Bond distances			
P1O1	1.610(2)	P1O2	1.622(2)
P1-S1	1.9389(12)	P1-S2	1.9586(12)
O2–C7	1.386(4)	O1C1	1.393(4)
N1-C19	1.471(5)	N1-C21	1.496(5)
N1-C17	1.538(6)	C20-C19	1.501(7)
Bond angles			
O1-P1-O2	102.33(12)	O1-P1-S1	112.57(10)
O2-P1-S1	105.96(9)	O1-P1-S2	104.41(9)
O2-P1-S2	111.06(9)	S1-P1-S2	119.31(6)
C7-O2-P1	127.09(19)	C1O1P1	129.01(19)
C19-N1-C21	116.1(4)	C19-N1-C17	110.5(4)
C21-N1-C17	112.7(3)	C2-C1-O1	115.3(3)
C6-C1-O1	123.7(3)	N1-C19-C20	112.8(4)
C18-C17-N1	113.0(4)	N1-C21-C22	114.1(3)

The crystal structure of **16** consists of two dithiophosphate and two pyridine units linked to cadmium. The coordination geometry is based on an octahedron within a *trans*-N₂S₄ donor set [figure 3(b)]. Cd(II) of **16** is surrounded by two chelating dithiophosphate anions, situated on a crystallographic center of inversion. The two pyridines are coordinated to cadmium axially. The cadmium attached to the pyridine ring is slightly above the plane of the pyridine ring (the value of the deviation being 0.2562). The dihedral angle between the pyridine ring (N1/C17/C18/C19/C20/C21) and the plane through cadmium (Cd1/S1/P1/S2)

Bond distances			
Cd1–N1	2.3973(19)		
Cd1–S1	2.7282(5)		
Cd1–S2	2.6741(5)		
P1O1	1.5947(16)		
P1-O2	1.5943(16)		
P1-S1	1.9759(8)		
P1-S2	1.9779(8)		
O1–C1	1.407(3)		
O2–C9	1.398(3)		
N1-C21	1.324(3)		
N1-C17	1.321(3)		
Bond angles			
N1'-Cd1-N1	180.0	N1'-Cd1-S2	90.80(5)
N1'-Cd1-S2	89.20(5)	S2'-Cd1-S2	180.00(2)
N1'-Cd1-S1	87.48(5)	N1'-Cd1-S1'	92.52(5)
S2'-Cd1-S1'	76.336(17)	S2'-Cd1-S1	103.663(17)
N1'-Cd1-S1'	92.52(5)	S1'-Cd1-S1	180.000
S2'-Cd1-S1'	76.336(17)	O1-P1-S1	113.20(7)
O2-P1-O1	98.29(8)	O1-P1-S2	105.67(7)
S2-Cd1-S1	76.337(17)	S1-P1-S2	115.22(3)
O2-P1-S1	111.05(8)	P1-S1-Cd1	81.99(2)
O2-P1-S2	112.06(8)	C1-O1-P1	124.01(14)
C17-N1-C21	117.6(2)	C17-N1-Cd1	120.83(17)
P1-S2-Cd1	83.38(2)	C21-N1-Cd1	121.22(18)
C9-O2-P1	128.64(14)	N1-C17-C18	122.4(3)
N1-C21-C20	123.4(3)		

Table 4. Important bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for 16.



Figure 3. (a) Tetrahedral view of 4 and (b) Octahedral view of 16.

is 78.72(1)°. The S1–Cd1–S2 and S1–P1–S2 angles $[76.336(17)^{\circ}$ and $115.22(3)^{\circ}]$ are normal [39]. The Cd–S bonds lengths [2.7282(5) and 2.6741(5) Å] of **16** are in agreement with those reported for other analogous complexes Cd{S₂P(OCH₂CH₂Ph)₂}₂·bipy [2.7958(14) and 2.5985(13) Å] [39], Cd{S₂P(OCy)₂}₂ [2.526(8) and 2.660(8)] [17], and Cd[(ⁱPrO)₂P-S₂]₂(py)₂ [2.694(1) and 2.704(1)] [24]. The Cd–N bond distance in **16** is 2.397(19) Å which is comparable to the value found in Cd[(ⁱPrO)₂PS₂]₂(py)₂ [2.399(3) Å] [24]. The phosphorus is surrounded by two sulfurs and two oxygens to furnish a distorted tetrahedral geometry. The P–O bond in **16** is slightly shorter than those in **4**, although all of these are in the



Figure 4. Packing diagram viewed down the *a*-axis for 4 showing hydrogen bonding.

Table 5. Geometry of intramolecular and intermolecular interactions for 4.

D–H···A	D–H (Å)	H…A (Å)	D…A (Å)	$\theta \left[D - H \cdots A \left(^{\circ}\right) \right]$
C6–H6····O2 ⁱ	0.930	2.50(2)	3.13(4)	124.8(2)
N1–H1····S2 ⁱⁱ	0.940	2.30(4)	3.20(3)	160.75(3)

Note: Symmetry codes: (i) x, y, z; (ii) 1 - x, 1/2 + y, 1/2 - z.

expected range. Compound **4** has only S2 involved in hydrogen bonding (table 5) while no classical hydrogen bonds are present in **16**.

3.4. Thermogravimetric analysis

The thermal behavior of $[\{(3,5-CH_3)_2C_6H_3O\}_2PS_2]_2Cd(NC_5H_5)_2]$ (16) displayed a thermolysis step that covers a temperature range from 150 to 900 °C (figure 5). The rate of weight loss increases steeply at 322 °C. The weight loss of 65.09% (Calcd wt. loss = 64.61%) corresponds to the formation of bis(dithio-*meta*-phosphato)cadmium(II) $[Cd(S_2PO)_2]$ which is diagnostic for dithiophosphate complexes [40]. The temperature range for formation of $[Cd(S_2PO)_2]$ is from 300 to 350 °C. The formation of final residue CdSO₄ contaminated with other thermolysis products was obtained with the weight loss of 77.60% (Calcd wt. loss = 77.95%) at 891 °C. The DTA curve shows an endotherm which signifies the thermal decomposition of the organic part of the dithiophosphate ligand at 160 °C.



Figure 5. TGA/DTA curve of 16.

4. Conclusion

We have synthesized and characterized new disubstituted diphenyldithiophosphates and their cadmium(II) complexes by elemental analysis, IR, NMR (^{1}H , ^{13}C and ^{31}P) and single-crystal X-ray analysis. In the triethylammonium salt [{(3,5-CH₃)₂C₆H₃O}₂PS₂HNEt₃], phosphorus of the anion is tetrahedrally bonded to two S and two O atoms. The cationanion N–H···S hydrogen bond interactions in 4 stabilized the structure. The complex [{(3,5-CH₃)₂C₆H₃O}₂PS₂]₂Cd(NC₅H₅)₂ has bidentate diphenyldithiophosphate ions with two sulfurs coordinated to cadmium. Each forms a four-membered chelate ring in the equatorial plane as a [CdS₂] unit. Two pyridines are axially coordinated to cadmium.

Supplementary material

CCDC 971866 and 971951 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for **4** and **16**. These data can be obtained free of charge via http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/conts/retrieving. html, or from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; Fax: (+44) 1223-336-033 or E-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to the NMR laboratory Department of Chemistry, University of Jammu, Jammu for providing NMR spectral facilities (PURSE program). One of the authors (Rajni Kant) acknowledges the DST for the single-crystal X-ray diffractometer as a National Facility under Project No. SR/S2/CMP-47/2003.

References

- [1] P. O'Brien, J.R. Walsh, I.M. Watson, M. Motevalli, L. Henriksen. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 2491 (1996).
- [2] J. Cheon, D.S. Talaga, J.I. Zink. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 119, 163 (1997).
- [3] M. Bochmann. Chem. Vap. Deposit., 2, 85 (1996).
- [4] P. Yan, Y. Xie, Y. Qian, X. Liu. Chem. Commun., 1293 (1999).
- [5] D.W. Tomlin, T.M. Cooper, D.E. Zelmon, Z. Gebeyehu, J.M. Hughes. Acta Cryst., C55, 717 (1999).
- [6] J.P. Groten, P.J. van Bladeren. Trends Food Sci. Technol., 5, 50 (1994).
- [7] H. Savolainen. Ren. Fail., 17, 483 (1995).
- [8] H. Hattori. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr., 37, 39 (1991).
- [9] M. Hiroki. Soil Sci. Plant Nutr., 38, 141 (1992).
- [10] J. XianJun, L. YongMing, Z. QiGuo, W. ShengChun, W. LongHua, Q. XianLiang, S. Jing. Soils, 32, 75 (2000).
- [11] N. Milosevic, M. Govedarica, M. Jarak, N. Petrovic, S. Jevtic, B. Lazic. Acta Horticul., 462, 133 (1997).
- [12] M.G. Cherian. Environ. Health Perspect., 54, 243 (1984).
- [13] G.R. Gale, L.M. Atkins, E.M. Walker, A.B. Smith, M.M. Jones. Ann. Clin. Lab. Sci., 14, 137 (1984).
- [14] L.A. Shinobu, S.J. Jones, M.M. Jones. Acta Pharmocol. Toxicol., 54, 189 (1984).
- [15] J.A. McCleverty, S. Gill, R.S.Z. Kowalski, N.A. Bailey, H. Adams, K.W. Lumbard, M.A. Murphy. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 493 (1982).
- [16] A.V. Ivanov, O.V. Loseva, M.A. Ivanov, V.A. Konfederatov, A.V. Gerasimenko, O.N. Antzutkin, W. Forsling. *Russ. J. Inorg. Chem.*, **52**, 1595 (2007).
- [17] J.S. Casas, A. Castineiras, M.S. Garcia-Tasende, A. Sanchez, J. Sordo, E.M. Vásquez-López. Polyhedron, 14, 2055 (1995).
- [18] A.V. Ivanov, O.N. Antzutkin, W. Forsling, N.A. Rodionova. Russ. J. Coord. Chem., 29, 301 (2003).
- [19] S.L. Lawton, G.T. Kokotailo. Inorg. Chem., 8, 2410 (1969).
- [20] A.V. Ivanov, O.N. Antzutkin, W. Forsling, D. Bostrom, Y. Yegao, N.A. Rodionova. Dokl. Phys. Chem. (Proc. Russ. Acad. Sci.), 387, 299 (2002).
- [21] A.V. Ivanov, A.V. Gerasimenko, O.N. Antzutkin, W. Forsling. Inorg. Chim. Acta, 358, 2585 (2005).
- [22] Q.-L. Hao, X.-J. Yang, F.-F. Jian, L. Lu, X. Wang, I.A. Razak, S. Chantrapromma, H.-K. Fun. Acta Cryst., C57, 42 (2001).
- [23] M. Shimoi, A. Ouchi, M. Aikawa, S. Sato, Y. Saito. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 55, 2089 (1982).
- [24] F. Jian, H. Xiao, Y. Li, K. Jiao. J. Chem. Cryst., 33, 705 (2003).
- [25] A. Kumar, K.R. Sharma, S.K. Pandey. Phosphorus Sulfur Silicon Relat. Elem., 182, 1023 (2007).
- [26] A.I. Vogel. A Textbook of Quantitative Inorganic Analysis, 4th Edn, Longman, London (1978).
- [27] Oxford Diffraction. CrysAlis PRO, Oxford Diffraction, Yarnton (2010).
- [28] G.M. Sheldrick. Acta Cryst., A64, 112 (2008).
- [29] A.L. Spek. Acta Cryst., D65, 148 (2009).
- [30] M. Nardelli. J. Appl. Cryst., 28, 659 (1995).
- [31] C.M.V. Stalhandske, I. Persson, M. Sandstrom, E. Kamienska-Piotrowicz. Inorg. Chem., 36, 3174 (1997).
- [32] T. Li, Z.-H. Li, S.-M. Hu, S.-W. Du. J. Coord. Chem., 59, 945 (2006).
- [33] M. Sonmez. Turk. J. Chem., 25, 181 (2001).
- [34] K. Nakamoto. Infra-red Spectra of Inorganic and Coordination Compounds, Wiley-Interscience, New York (1970).
- [35] C. Glidewell. Inorg. Chim. Acta, 25, 159 (1977).
- [36] L.J. Farrugia. J. Appl. Cryst., 45, 849 (2012).
- [37] J.E. Drake, C.L.B. MacDonald, A. Kumar, S.K. Pandey, R. Ratnani. J. Chem. Cryst., 35, 447 (2005).
- [38] K.C. Kumara Swamy, S. Kumaraswamy, S. Raja, K.S. Kumar. J. Chem. Cryst., 31, 51 (2001).
- [39] B. Xie, Y.-M. Wang, L.-K. Zou, J. Wang, Y.-L. Li, X. Lin. Chin. J. Struct. Chem., 32, 1457 (2013).
- [40] T.A. Rodina, A.V. Ivanov, A.V. Gerasimenko, I.A. Lutsenko, M.A. Ivanov, J.V Hanna, O.N. Antzutkin, V.I. Sergienko, *Polyhedron*, **30**, 2210 (2011).